

IMPORTANCE OF PROBIOTICS IN GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT



 Kiran Konain¹

 Sadia²

 Turfa Nadeem³⁺

 Adeed Khan⁴

 Warda Iqbal⁵

 Arsalan⁶

 Amir Javed⁷

 Ruby Khan⁸

 Kainat Jamil⁹

 Saleha Qazi¹⁰

¹Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Khyber Medical University, Peshawar, Pakistan

²Email: Kiran.konain@hotmail.com Tel: +923065926726

^{2,4,5,8,9,10}Centre of Biotechnology & Microbiology, University of Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan.

⁴Email: Adeedkhan@gmail.com Tel: 03038284486

⁵Email: Slyerminded@gmail.com Tel: 03342661117

⁶Email: Rubykhamth@gmail.com Tel: 03049718424

⁹Email: Kainatjamil12@gmail.com Tel: +923369594966

¹⁰Email: Salehaqazibbt@yahoo.com Tel: +923318134515

³Department of Pharmacy, The University of Lahore, Islamabad Campus, Pakistan

³Email: turfa.nadeem@gmail.com Tel: +923450580901

^{6,7}Institute of Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan.

⁷Email: Amir818pir@gmail.com Tel: +923459196789

⁸Email: Khanarsalan54@yahoo.com Tel: 03149696909



(+ Corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

Article History

Received: 16 March 2018

Revised: 9 April 2018

Accepted: 13 April 2018

Published: 16 April 2018

Keywords

Probiotics

Gastrointestinal infection (GIT)

Microflora

Microbial equilibrium.

Clinical importance

Adverse effects

Probiotics are those microorganisms that are incapable of causing any disease and when consumed, they impact the host positively. Treatment of GIT infections with antibiotics can affect this microflora of GIT which leads to several complications such as different colorectal cancers, constipation, allergic reactions and others. Thus, the addition of these useful bacterial species to the GIT may be an effective method to prevent such disease and also to restore the microbial equilibrium. Mostly used bacterial genera in the preparation of probiotics are *Escherichia*, *Bacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium* and *Enterococcus*. Moreover, few strains of fungi belonging to *Saccharomyces* are utilized in probiotics preparation. In this review, we tried to assess the significance of probiotics in the gastrointestinal tract and also studied their adverse effects.

Contribution/ Originality: This study is one of very few studies conducted in Peshawar on probiotics and can be used as baseline information for further research in Pakistan. The paper's primary contribution is finding the role of probiotic in GIT infection and documents how it affect gut micro-biota and its utilization as effective treatment option.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal tract (GIT) infection is one of the significant reasons for deaths around the globe due to severe dehydration and diarrhea. The decease rate of children under age of five is about 1,575,000 from the inspection done in 2006 [1]. Micro-organisms live in every habitat including the human body in the mouth, gastrointestinal tract and even on the skin. These microorganisms have such an association in which one organism depends on another organism for nourishment or different advantages without harming or helping it. Within the human body, higher concentrations of these commensals micro-organisms are found in the gastro-intestinal tract. GIT has more than

500 different species of bacteria. Among them, some have a positive effect on human health [2]. It has been assessed that microscopic organisms represent 35-50% human colon volume and comprises of *Clostridium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Bacteroides*, *Fusobacterium*, *Peptococcus*, *Eubacterium*, *Escherichia*, *Veillonella* and *Peptostreptococcus* [3, 4].

1.1. Influence of Probiotics on Humans and Animals Health

A specialist board authorized by WHO and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) characterized probiotic as "live micro-organisms," which, when given in satisfactory sums gives a medical advantage to the host [5]. Probiotics can influence both human and animal health by modulating microbiota of the intestine at present, food ingredients of the particular live microbes and their impacts on human wellbeing are concentrated on both inside of food matrices. Furthermore, as single or blended culture preparation [6, 7]. and micro-organisms should have following properties for them to be used as probiotics: i) it should be safe to use; ii) it should be able to resist the action of hydrochloric acid, bile and pancreatic juices; iii) it should not be carcinogenic; iv) it should have the ability to survive in both in acidic as well as basic environment of stomach and duodenum respectively [8] v) should have positive effect on host; vi) should not be pathogenic and toxic [9] vii) should be able to stimulate immune system and can produce lactic acid. Foods that mainly contain lactic acid bacteria include cheese, wine, fermented milk, sausages and fruit juices [8].

Table-1. Criteria of an ideal microorganism as probiotics [10]

1: should be safe to use
2: withstand the action of hydrochloric acid, bile and pancreatic juices
3: should be non-carcinogenic and non-pathogenic
4: should be able to persist both in the acidic and basic environment of stomach and duodenum
5: should effect host positively
6: can stimulate the immune system
7: can produce lactic acid

Adapted from Singhi and Baranwal [10]

1.2. Characteristics of Probiotics

1.2.1. Mode of action

The consumption of probiotics has several positive effects such as by the regulation of microbiota it can enhance the intestinal tract health, immune system stimulation, augment the bioavailability of nutrients, bringing down the risk of some maladies and reduction in the signs related to intolerance of lactose. Different mode of actions has been suggested that describe the positive effect of using probiotics such as altering the pH of gut, completion with the pathogen for binding receptor sites, producing anti-microbial compounds, production of lactase and stimulation of immunomodulatory cells. However, the specific mechanism used by probiotics to influence their effects is still unknown [11]. Different strains of bacteria differ on the basis of their effect on health. Keeping in mind the possible targets of probiotic, they are designed and applied to specific diseases. The interaction of host with microbes can be of the following type: i) interaction of microbe-gut epithelium; ii) interaction between microbe-immune system; iii) microbe-microbe interaction.

1.3. Adhesion

Adhering to the mucus of intestine is a basic requirement for the colonization and is important in the modulation of the immune system [12-14]. Various investigations uncover that probiotics can competitively restrain the pathogen attachment like that of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Clostridium difficile*, *Salmonella*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Bacteroides vulgatus* [15-20]. It is also reported that some *Bifidobacteria* and *lactobacilli* share carbohydrate binding specificities with some of the enteropathogens [21]. It is additionally revealed that some *Bifidobacteria* and *lactobacilli* impart starch restricting specificities to a portion of the enteropathogens. For the

most part, it is trusted that the strains of probiotics can repress pathogenic bacteria adhesion by steric hindrance at intestinal absorptive cells pathogen receptors. Thus, the main basis of selection of new probiotic strain is adhesion.

1.4. Anti-Microbial Substances

The metabolites that are manufactured by lactic acid bacteria having antimicrobial effects can be partitioned into two sets (i) bacteriocins that are antimicrobial proteins (>1000Da) and (ii) compounds with low molecular mass (below 1000Da) [22, 23].

Probiotics produce acids from the fermentative metabolism of carbohydrates are considered as the main antimicrobial compounds which are accountable for their inhibitory effect against pathogens [24, 25]. Probiotics also show antagonistic effects against different pathogens belonging to *genus Salmonella*, *Escherichia*, *Listeria*, *Shigella*, *Clostridium*, *Candida*, *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* [26-30].

1.5. Immunomodulation

Studies show that use of probiotics can repair and prevent damage to the intestine. Probiotics can regulate and stimulate natural as well as acquired immune response by interaction with mucous associated lymphoid tissue. This interaction has a high importance for human wellbeing and could affect infectious diseases such as allergic diseases, some types of cancer, a variety of intestinal inflammatory diseases and auto immune disorders. Probiotics bind with the receptors present on the epithelial cell surface, inducing cellular and humoral immune response that direct to both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses. There are expanding evidence that a couple of probiotics can produce defensive immune response enough to raise resistance to microbial pathogens [31]. Studies done on human and animal shows that different probiotic strains can affect immune system differently. These effects also depend on the immune status of the host as well as the dose given [32].

1.6. Typical Human Gut Micro-Flora as a Source of Probiotics

The human intestine is accompanied by trillions of microbes that form a complex community influencing the sensitivity towards diseases and normal physiology by a complex interaction between host and the microbes [33]. Although these microbes are present all through the intestine, the high concentration and metabolic activity of these are present in the large intestine [34, 35]. Data obtained from cultures shows that complex microflora present in mouth consists of facultative anaerobes which can include *Bacterioides*, Yeasts, *Streptococci* and *Lactobacilli*. The upper portion of bowel has scanty micro-flora up to 10^5 colony forming units/ml. From ileum and onward, the concentration of these bacteria's increases slowly and reaches up to 10^{11} to 10^{12} colony forming units/g within the colon. Approximately 500 various species of microbes exist, although on a quantitative basis 10-20 genera might predominate in healthy human microflora including *Clostridium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacterioides*, *Peptococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Veillonella*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Escherichia* and *Eubacterium* [34]. Any change in the composition of gut microbes can lead to diseases like gastric ulcer, colon cancer, auto-immune disease, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes type 2 and obesity [36]. Probiotics can have an immediate antimicrobial impact. Truth be told, a few strains/species of probiotics can specifically inhibit or kill the development of pathogenic microscopic organisms like bacteria through creation of antimicrobial components, for example, bacteriocins, proteases coordinated against bacterial toxins, or through avoidance of pathogens by basically sticking to epithelial cells [37-39].

2. CLINICAL IMPORTANCE OF PROBIOTICS

2.1. Diarrhea Associated with Antibiotics

About $\leq 20\%$ of patients suffer from diarrhea after taking antibiotics. This is due to the imbalance in microbes that can cause decrease in the flora, the result is resistance to colonization and decrease in the capacity of

fermentation of the colon [40]. Even though new antibiotics that are effective against a large variety of microorganisms with lesser side effects, have been developed, still antibiotic associated diarrhea ranges from 3.2–29/100 patients [41]. Antibiotics that contain anaerobic bacteria (penicillin, cephalosporin and clindamycin) are associated with more antibiotic associated diarrhea rates. Several meta-analysis reports published recently showed that use of probiotics decreased the occurrence of AAD [42–45]. Mostly used probiotics are *lactic acid bacteria*, *S. boulardii* and many combinations of LABs administer in 10^7 to 10^{11} doses with duration of 5–49 days parallel to the duration of an antibiotic therapeutic procedure. One of the meta-analyses showed that *L. rhamnosus*, *S. boulardii* and many mixes of two dissimilar probiotics are most effective against AAD [45].

2.2. Diarrhea Linked with Infections

Use of probiotics can reduce the duration of infectious diarrhea in both children and adults. From different trials, it was shown that there was about 30 hours a decrease in symptoms durations. From Cochrane review involving 23 studies with about 2000 participants out of which 352 were adults, it was deduced that use of probiotics reduces persistent diarrhea risk. Only two studies used *S. boulardii* while mostly tested probiotics (18 out of 23 studies) were LABs [46]. The effectiveness of probiotics in treating infectious diarrhea has also been evaluated in a meta-analysis which included total of 63 studies out of which 56 were infant/young children. Altogether, probiotics reduced the duration of diarrhea by 24.76 h (95% CI 15.9–33.6 h), the risk for diarrhea lasting ≥ 4 days (risk ratio 0.41; 95% CI 0.32–0.53), and reduced stool frequency on day 2 (mean difference 0.80; 95% CI 0.45–1.14) [47].

2.3. Travelers' Diarrhea

A typical health problem among travelers is traveler's diarrhea. Rates of this diarrhea can extend from 5 to 50% contingent on destination. A meta-investigation was done on distributed randomized controlled clinical trials of cases involving traveler's diarrhea. It was reasoned that probiotics fundamentally avert the occurrence of traveler's diarrhea. *Saccharomyces boulardii* and a blend of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* had noteworthy efficacy [48]. Acute diarrhea occurs to about half of travelers who visit high-risk areas. Although many cases are mild and self-limiting, there is a considerable morbidity [49, 50]. Different studies were conducted with the use of probiotics. Few studies involved lactobacilli which showed negative results, however, 4 studies used a variety of probiotics that showed positive results. 94 Danish tourists who participated in a trip of 2 weeks to Egypt were treated with a mixture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Bifidobacteria*, and *S. thermophilus* or a placebo in a randomized study. The rate of traveler's diarrhea was decreased from 71% (very high) to 43% [51].

2.4. Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Inflammatory bowel diseases are characterized by recurrent or chronic intestinal infections with an unknown cause. These disorders include chronic disease, ulcerative colitis, and pouchitis. The process for maintenance and initiation of this inflammatory process has not been discovered, but main theory stated that inflammatory bowel disease results from an abnormal response of the host to some floral members of an intestine or from mucosal barrier defect [52, 53]. Different studies show the effect of probiotics on inflammatory bowel disease in animals. The administration of *L. reuteri* in rats prevent the formation of acid induced colitis [54]. In Crohn's disease, oral bacteriotherapy with *L. casei* strain GG has shown same effects. In disease of crowns, there is an increasing number of IgG together with mucosal IgG deficiency in lesions of tissue. Oral application for ten days of *L. casei* strain GG led to an increase in IgA mucosal levels. Results suggest immunological barrier improvement [55]. In open studies 10-d administration of *L. rhamnosus* GG with the 14 children having inactive or active crohn disease results in increase immunoglobulin secreting cells to casein and beta-lactoglobulin indicating interaction within the immune system and probiotic [55]. Hotz and Plein conducted a double-blinds, plot, controlled study of *S. boulardii* of

efficacy on Crohn Disease Symptoms. 20 patients with moderate, active Crohn's disease were assigned randomly to a placebo for 7 weeks or *S. boulardii* to a standard treatment. The significant decrease in frequency of disease activity and bowel movement was observed in the having *S. boulardii* and not in the placebo group [56]. The efficacy of oral *E. coli* preparation and mesalazine compared to two studies [57, 58] in the maintenance of remission of ulcerative colitis. 120 patients with inactive ulcerative colitis were included in the first study. 11.3% of the patients treated with mesalazine relapsed after 12 wks as compare to that 16% who were treated with probiotics. The second study had 116 patients which also showed that the preparation of probiotic was effective as mesalazine in remission inducing and relapse prevention [58]. Many studies in Europe are testing the probiotics effect on inflammatory bowel disease.

2.5. Irritable Bowel Syndrome

This syndrome is described by a stomach torment, change in the habit of bowel and bloating, with a nonattendance of any clear mucosal abnormality and flatulence [59]. Probiotics also including Bifidus milk or acidophilus relieve constipation in some patients which were not controlled [60]. In a random placebo-controlled study which comprises 34 patients, Maupas, et al. [61] observed that *S. boulardii* reduced functional diarrhea but did not increase other symptoms of bowel syndrome. Halpern and their team [62] suggesting in random, crossover, double blind that heat killed lactobacilli administration for 6 weeks was more effective than placebo in irritable bowel syndrome symptoms relieving. They Hentschel, et al. [63] suggest the efficacy of preparation of 2 probiotics having *E. coli* and *lactobacilli* in 126 patients affected by non-ulcer dyspepsia and didn't observe amelioration. *S. boulardii* minimize the duration of diarrhea activated by tube feeding in 3 trials [64-66]. Recently the study was double blind and compared the placebo in 128 critically ill patients of tube-fed with 2g of *S. boulardii*/day [66]. Probiotic treatment reduced the percentage of diarrhea days experienced by patients from 18.9% to 14.2% ($p=0.007$). The open 2 study results that *lactobacilli* may have efficacy against the overgrowth of small intestinal bacteria [67, 68] but *S. boulardii* is not effective in a random placebo controlled study [69]. Diarrhea is an adverse nearly constant effect of pelvic irradiation. A control randomized study showed a decrease in patients with diarrhea during pelvic irradiation by [70] in those patients receiving *L. acidophilus* NDCO 1748. Some past Open trails have been conducted in which freeze dried lactic acid bacterial cultures were used for the same symptoms [35] such therapeutic effects need to be further studied [71] discovered that *S. boulardii* may have effect when taken in high doses in some patients with chronic diarrhea related with HIV and before conclusions further evaluation should be drawn [71].

In the treatment of IBS, the meta-analysis of clinical features of probiotics was led by Moayyedi and his colleagues. In this study, 18 randomized clinically controlled trials including 1,650 IBS suspects were identified and the examining products include *Streptococcus* (1 study), *Lactobacillus* (6 studies), *Bifidobacterium* (3 studies), and different combination products (9 studies) (and one trail was reported on both *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*). Out of these ten ($n= 918$) concluded results as a variable dichotomous, in these studies IBS symptoms were reduced by probiotics (persisting risk of symptoms in treatment group 95% CI (0.57-0.88) and with number treat of four needed. Total 15 trials reported results as a continuous variable, grouping for meta-analysis. These trials examined that probiotics had a highly significant effect on improvement of IBS symptoms as compared to placebo. Differences between different probiotics were shown in this meta-analysis with *Bifidobacterium* (2 trials involving 422 suspects), *Streptococcus* (1 trial involving 54 suspects), *Lactobacillus* (3 trials involving 140 suspects), and different combination products (four trials involving 302 suspects) in which all were showing benefits. In an improvement of individuals symptoms, probiotics had a good effect in improvement of bloating, flatulence, and pain sources [72].

In adults, varieties of studies showing that *L. rhamnosus* GG, *B. infantis*, and the different mixture of probiotics as *L. rhamnosus* LC705, *L. rhamnosus* GG, *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* JS, and *B. breve* Bb99 are effective in

minimizing the IBS symptoms. While in infants there is decrease in abdominal distention but there is no decrease in abdominal pain by *L. rhamnosus* GG [73-76].

2.6. Allergic Diseases

The reaction of hypersensitivity initiated by mechanisms of immunology is known as allergy, on the basis of immunological mechanisms that are involved the allergy is classified into non IgE-mediated allergy and IgE mediated allergy [77]. The allergic disease development is related to Microbiota aberrancies [78, 79]. In infants atopy development is detected due to reduced ratio of *Bifidobacteria* to *Clostridia* [78] and patients with allergy are often more colonized with *Staphylococcus* and *Clostridium* and have few *Bifidobacterium* and *Enterococcus* than in non-allergic persons [78, 80, 81]. Composition differences in gut micro-biota may manipulate the manifestation and development of atop. Higher risk of eczema development has been assigned to early colonization of *Escherichia coli*. *Clostridium difficile* is associated with recurrent wheezing, allergic sensitization in infants and eczema [82].

Disease of atopy arises from abnormal responses of the immune system to allergens present in the environment which leads to inflammatory allergic response [83]. An allergic skin disease known as Atopic dermatitis (AD) is widely common in kids from Western Europe and the US [84]. Such patients suffering from this disease have a maximum number of *Clostridium* and *S. aureus* in their colon and have a minimum number of *Bacterioides*, *Enterococcus*, and *Bifidobacterium*. Healthy intestinal microbiota with high recognition of importance with a value of the active role of probiotics in the treatment and prevention of human allergic disease in clinical trials. 50% of reduction occurred in atopic eczema by administration of *Lactobacillus* GG to high risk infants [78]. In other experiments, children were treated for two months with a whey formula having *L. rhamnosus* or *B. animalis* subspecies *lactis* in Finland which resulted in improved skin condition. Similarly, results obtained *L. rhamnosus* plus *L. reuteri* were curative [85, 86]. In western society spread of atopic diseases has been increasing progressively. Hygiene hypothesis of allergy came up with the less exposure to microorganisms at an early age [87]. The most effective and earliest source of this type of exposure is related to the settlement of gut microflora. In human allergic disease, the probiotics regulatory role was first highlighted in the demonstration of the inhibition effect on lymphocyte's proliferation and in vitro interleukin 4 generation [88, 89]. The immune-inflammatory response in allergic individuals to dietary antigens were minimized by probiotics, this partly increases the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines interleukin 10 [90] and also the transforming growth factor beta [91] which partly control allergic inflammation of gut [85].

2.7. Cancer

Cancer has a great importance in human well-being because of its increasing frequency in developed nations. The techniques for effectual treatment of cancer under study are incalculable and not long ago, the utilization of LAB has shown up in this field. Disease improvement and movement have been comprehensively related with unending irritation procedures created by outside components, for example, contamination, radiation, uneven eating regimen, heftiness, tobacco or the introduction to other ecological poisons [92].

The immune system and endogenous flora play role in carcinogenesis modulation. Probiotics may influence both of them which lead to investigating the probiotics role in curing and preventing animal tumors. Different authors have shown that some probiotics may minimize the enzymes, secondary bile salts and mutagens fecal concentrations which might be involved in carcinogenesis of colon [93]. Not all but some epidemiological studies suggest that fermented dairy products might have a protective effect against cancer or large colon adenomas [94] LAB organisms are used for the prevention of colorectal cancer has been found mainly using colorectal cancer murine models [92]. One sample used *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* which expressed a recombinant endostatin demonstrated how this protected vector could specifically repress angiogenesis and tumor development in tumor mice models after its intravenous administration [95].

In a controlled double blind study with 138 patients in 1995, *L. casei shirota* preparation had preventive effect on the rate of bladder cancer after surgery [96]. In a variety of animals models involving rats and mice which were fed on oligofructose and/or insulin reduce the fecal water genotoxicity [97]. The numbers of precancerous lesions which are induced chemically are decreased [98, 99] and also defense function is stimulated. The activity of NK-cells and IL-10 was also increased [100]. Tumor incidence in organs (breast cancer in mice and rats, in lungs [101] and large intestine [102] were decreased by the addition of 5 to 15% oligofructose or inulin to the diet in the long term. This impact was significantly more proclaimed when a mix of prebiotics and probiotics were given [103]. In the colon of male Sprague-Dawley rats treated with 1,2-dimethylhydrazine, Xylooligosaccharide appeared to decrease the number of aberrant crypt foci [104].

2.8. Adverse Side Effects of LAB

LABs are (GMOs) genetically modified organisms and are well established in industries such as food industries and are widely accepted. For its use as a therapeutic agent, important regulatory concerns are needed to be addressed. LABs are specifically based on expression systems having selection markers as antibiotic resistance genes [105]. The transfer of antibiotics resistance of live vectors to intestinal microbiota has been discovered but this rare event has not reported in this field, however, this issue is important to be considered. LAB as live vectors opens an interesting and vast field of possibilities but measures related to regulation has to be considered to ensure the used strain safety. Some innovative selection markers and alternative up till now have already developed, some of them are tested successfully and positively evaluated by different health authorities [105-107]. These safe and alternative selection markers must be explored further that some of LAB adverse effect has been reported [108-110]. This shows that having positive therapeutic effects of such microorganisms and minimum adverse effect are registered they are not safe completely. This information should be given importance as the adverse effects observed were in high risk groups like immune compromised and critically ill patients, hospitalized patients and severely sick infants. The main harmful effects of LAB described are fungemia, GI ischemia and Sepsis [109]. In vulnerable populations LAB interfere with microflora forming opportunistic infections and leads to fungemia, bacteremia or different medical complication. Other strong evidences shows that using LAB as probiotics has anti-inflammatory effects however reports also show pro-inflammatory effects caused by group of bacteria which means that effect of LAB as probiotics is strain dependent, acting as a factor to be the therapeutic applications of host strains [111, 112].

2.9. Obesity

Obesity is seen as one of the real current general health issues and its effect is the most noteworthy in kids, adding to critical dreariness in adulthood [113]. The progress of metabolic complications connected with obesity amid youth track into adulthood and builds the danger for diabetes type 2 and early cardiovascular illnesses [114]. Dangerous elements of obesity in infant involve eating routine, low financial status, maternal obesity, quick outset weight pick up, and diminished physical activity. Additional to these dangers, a few reports have proposed that the gut microbiota is an imperative element influencing energy transfer and capacity in adipocytes [115-118]. Moreover, a late report demonstrated that newborn children with high quantities of the *Bifidobacterium* and low quantities of *Staphylococcus* may be shielded from overabundance weight gain amid later life [119]. The *Bifidobacteria* populace (and most different life forms in the family of *Firmicutes*) is somewhat lower in people with obesity than in slim individuals [120]. A comparative finding was accounted for in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in correlation with no diabetic patients [121]. These discoveries recommend that *Bifidobacteria* may have an impact on the advancement of obesity and its related independently existing medical condition. At the point when prebiotics like insulin-type fructans was given to mice, these were utilized as vitality substrates by microorganisms [122-124]. The quantity of *Bifidobacteria* expanded altogether, and there was a backward

connection with the levels of lipopolysaccharide, glucose resistance and advancement of fat mass [124, 125]. Additionally, it has been accounted for how weight control plans in view of a high admission of protein and/or low admission of sugar or low fat utilization may change the composition of microbes and activity in the large intestine and consequently affect gut health. Modification of Micro-biota by utilization of probiotics may offer new headings for preventive and restorative applications in lessening the danger of obesity and overweight [115, 122, 125, 126].

2.10. Constipation

There are a significant number of reports on the constructive outcomes of fermented dairy items on constipation [127]. Unfortunately, greater parts of the studies were performed under inadequately controlled conditions. Positive results have been accounted for in studies with geriatric patients [128]. A double-blind crossover investigation of 50 patients with periodic constipation likewise indicated positive results. They got 1 g of a LAB preparation (containing 10 *L. casei* per gram) three times each day. Amid the treatment time of 30 days, either the medication or a fake treatment was regulated orally. The general effectiveness rate was 67% [129].

3. CONCLUSION

Probiotics are helpful and are progressively utilized for an assortment of Gastro-intestinal issue. Probiotics seem to adjust intestinal micro-flora and may apply their effect(s) by different mechanisms. Numerous types of probiotics exist and it is, by and large, acknowledged that all probiotics are not made equivalent. Efficacy may be because of a solitary strain or numerous strains or a mix of distinctive. Probiotics diminish the span of indications in intense infectious diarrhea. At the point when added to standard treatment, probiotics don't give extra benefit compared to standard treatment alone. Introduction of probiotics orally has appeared to fortify the different lines of the gut defense system. Probiotics likewise fortify non-particular host resistance to microbial pathogens and in this manner guide in their annihilation. The utilization of probiotics right now lies in lessening the danger of infections connected with gut barrier brokenness. Probiotics treatment has effectively advanced in the treatment of a number of conditions. Before bringing probiotics into routine use, legitimate assessment of these items is crucial.

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Contributors/Acknowledgement: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Lopez, C. D. Mathers, M. Ezzati, D. T. Jamison, and C. J. Murray, "Global and regional burden of disease and risk factors, 2001: systematic analysis of population health data," *Lancet*, vol. 367, pp. 1747-1757, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [2] J. A. Vanderhoof and R. J. Young, "Use of probiotics in childhood gastrointestinal disorders," *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, vol. 27, pp. 323-332, 1998. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [3] T. Vanhoutte, G. Huys, E. De Brandt, and J. Swings, "Temporal stability analysis of the microbiota in human feces by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis using universal and group-specific 16S rRNA gene primers," *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, vol. 48, pp. 437-446, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [4] R. E. Ley, D. A. Peterson, and J. I. Gordon, "Ecological and evolutionary forces shaping microbial diversity in the human intestine," *Cell*, vol. 124, pp. 837-848, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [5] E. A. S. FadlAlla, "Gut microbiota, probiotics and phytochemicals," *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, vol. 4, pp. 330-340, 2015. [View at Google Scholar](#)

- [6] H. Timmerman, C. Koning, L. Mulder, F. Rombouts, and A. Beynen, "Monostrain, multistrain and multispecies probiotics—a comparison of functionality and efficacy," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 96, pp. 219-233, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [7] M. Collado, J. Meriluoto, and S. Salminen, "Development of new probiotics by strain combinations: Is it possible to improve the adhesion to intestinal mucus?," *Journal of Dairy Science*, vol. 90, pp. 2710-2716, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [8] V. Gupta and R. Garg, "Probiotics," *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 27, pp. 202-209, 2009. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [9] M. C. Collado, E. Isolauri, S. Salminen, and Y. Sanz, "The impact of probiotic on gut health," *Current Drug Metabolism*, vol. 10, pp. 68-78, 2009. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [10] S. C. Singhi and A. Baranwal, "Probiotic use in the critically ill," *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 75, pp. 621-627, 2008. [View at Publisher](#)
- [11] S. Parvez, K. Malik, S. Ah Kang, and H. Y. Kim, "Probiotics and their fermented food products are beneficial for health," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 100, pp. 1171-1185, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [12] E. H. Beachey, "Bacterial adherence: Adhesin-receptor interactions mediating the attachment of bacteria to mucosal surfaces," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 143, pp. 325-345, 1981. [View at Publisher](#)
- [13] E. J. Schiffrin, D. Brassart, A. L. Servin, F. Rochat, and A. Donnet-Hughes, "Immune modulation of blood leukocytes in humans by lactic acid bacteria: Criteria for strain selection," *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 66, pp. 515S-520S, 1997. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [14] M. Juntunen, P. Kirjavainen, A. Ouwehand, S. Salminen, and E. Isolauri, "Adherence of probiotic bacteria to human intestinal mucus in healthy infants and during rotavirus infection," *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*, vol. 8, pp. 293-296, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [15] M. F. Bernet, D. Brassart, J. R. Neeser, and A. Servin, "Adhesion of human bifidobacterial strains to cultured human intestinal epithelial cells and inhibition of enteropathogen-cell interactions," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 59, pp. 4121-4128, 1993. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [16] E. Aissi, M. Lecocq, C. Brassart, and S. Bouquelet, "Adhesion of some Bifidobacterial strains to human enterocyte-like cells and binding to mucosal glycoproteins," *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease*, vol. 13, pp. 32-39, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [17] M. Gueimonde, L. Noriega, A. Margolles, G. Clara, and S. Salminen, "Ability of Bifidobacterium strains with acquired resistance to bile to adhere to human intestinal mucus," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 101, pp. 341-346, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [18] M. C. Collado, M. Gueimonde, Y. Sanz, and S. Salminen, "Adhesion properties and competitive pathogen exclusion ability of bifidobacteria with acquired acid resistance," *Journal of Food Protection®*, vol. 69, pp. 1675-1679, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [19] M. Gueimonde, L. Jalonen, F. He, M. Hiramatsu, and S. Salminen, "Adhesion and competitive inhibition and displacement of human enteropathogens by selected lactobacilli," *Food Research International*, vol. 39, pp. 467-471, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [20] M. C. Collado, J. Meriluoto, and S. Salminen, "In vitro analysis of probiotic strain combinations to inhibit pathogen adhesion to human intestinal mucus," *Food Research International*, vol. 40, pp. 629-636, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [21] S. Fujiwara, H. Hashiba, T. Hirota, and J. F. Forstner, "Inhibition of the binding of enterotoxigenic escherichia coli Pb176 to human intestinal epithelial cell line HCT-8 by an extracellular protein fraction containing BIF of bifidobacterium longum SBT2928: Suggestive evidence of blocking of the binding receptor ganglioside GM1 on the cell surface," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 67, pp. 97-106, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)

- [22] M. L. Niku-Paavola, A. Laitila, T. Mattila-Sandholm, and A. Haikara, "New types of antimicrobial compounds produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum*," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 86, pp. 29-35, 1999. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [23] H. Chen and D. Hoover, "Bacteriocins and their food applications," *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, vol. 2, pp. 82-100, 2003. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [24] S. A. Ibrahim and A. Bezkorovainy, "Survival of bifidobacteria in the presence of bile salt," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, vol. 62, pp. 351-354, 1993. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [25] F. Bruno and N. Shah, "Inhibition of pathogenic and putrefactive microorganisms by *Bifidobacterium* sp.," *Milchwissenschaft*, vol. 57, pp. 617-621, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [26] R. Touré, E. Kheadr, C. Lacroix, O. Moroni, and I. Fliss, "Production of antibacterial substances by bifidobacterial isolates from infant stool active against *Listeria monocytogenes*," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 95, pp. 1058-1069, 2003. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [27] M. Gagnon, E. E. Kheadr, and G. Le Blay, "In vitro inhibition of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by bifidobacterial strains of human origin," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 92, pp. 69-78, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [28] A. L. Servin, "Antagonistic activities of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria against microbial pathogens," *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 28, pp. 405-440, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [29] M. Collado, A. Gonzalez, R. Gonzalez, M. Hernandez, M. Ferrus, and Y. Sanz, "Antimicrobial peptides are among the antagonistic metabolites produced by bifidobacterium against *Helicobacter pylori*," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, vol. 25, pp. 385-391, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [30] M. C. Collado, M. Hernández, and Y. Sanz, "Production of bacteriocin-like inhibitory compounds by human fecal *Bifidobacterium* strains," *Journal of Food Protection*, vol. 68, pp. 1034-1040, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [31] M. L. Cross, "Microbes versus microbes: Immune signals generated by probiotic lactobacilli and their role in protection against microbial pathogens," *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology*, vol. 34, pp. 245-253, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [32] K. Madsen, A. Cornish, P. Soper, C. McKaigney, H. Jijon, C. Yachimec, J. Doyle, L. Jewell, and C. De Simone, "Probiotic bacteria enhance murine and human intestinal epithelial barrier function," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 121, pp. 580-591, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [33] C. A. Lozupone, J. I. Stombaugh, J. I. Gordon, J. K. Jansson, and R. Knight, "Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota," *Nature*, vol. 489, pp. 220-230, 2012. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [34] Y. Benno and T. Mitsuoka, "Development of intestinal microflora in humans and animals," *Bifidobacteria and Microflora*, vol. 5, pp. 13-25, 1986. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [35] E. Salminen, I. Elomaa, J. Minkkinen, H. Vapaatalo, and S. Salminen, "Preservation of intestinal integrity during radiotherapy using live *Lactobacillus acidophilus* cultures," *Clinical Radiology*, vol. 39, pp. 435-437, 1988. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [36] U. Vyas and N. Ranganathan, "Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics: gut and beyond," *Gastroenterology Research and Practice*, vol. 2012, 2012. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [37] S. Oh, S. Kim, and R. Worobo, "Characterization and purification of a bacteriocin produced by a potential probiotic culture, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* 30SC," *Journal of Dairy Science*, vol. 83, pp. 2747-2752, 2000. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [38] S. C. Corr, Y. Li, C. U. Riedel, P. W. O'Toole, C. Hill, and C. G. Gahan, "Bacteriocin production as a mechanism for the anti-infective activity of *Lactobacillus salivarius* UCC118," in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2007, pp. 7617-7621.
- [39] E. Quigley and B. Flourie, "Probiotics and irritable bowel syndrome: A rationale for their use and an assessment of the evidence to date," *Neurogastroenterology & Motility*, vol. 19, pp. 166-172, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)

- [40] G. Ligny, "Treatment with Ultra-Yeast intestinal disorders secondary to antibiotic therapy. Double blind study and simple clinical study," *Rev Fr Gastro-Enterol*, vol. 114, pp. 45-50, 1975. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [41] J. G. Bartlett, "Antibiotic-associated diarrhea," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 15, pp. 573-581, 1992. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [42] F. Cremonini, S. Di Caro, E. C. Nista, F. Bartolozzi, G. Capelli, G. Gasbarrini, and A. Gasbarrini, "Meta-analysis: The effect of probiotic administration on antibiotic-associated diarrhoea," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 16, pp. 1461-1467, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [43] A. L. D'Souza, C. Rajkumar, J. Cooke, and C. J. Bulpitt, "Probiotics in prevention of antibiotic associated diarrhoea: Meta-analysis," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 324, pp. 1361-1366, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [44] H. Szajewska and J. Mrukowicz, "Meta-analysis: Non-pathogenic yeast *saccharomyces boulardii* in the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 22, pp. 365-372, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [45] L. V. McFarland, "Meta-analysis of probiotics for the prevention of antibiotic associated diarrhea and the treatment of clostridium difficile disease," *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 101, pp. 812-822, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [46] S. J. Allen, B. Okoko, E. Martinez, G. Gregorio, and L. F. Dans, "Probiotics for treating infectious diarrhoea," *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, vol. 4, 2003. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [47] S. J. Allen, E. G. Martinez, G. V. Gregorio, and L. F. Dans, "Probiotics for treating acute infectious diarrhoea," *The Cochrane Library*, 2010.
- [48] L. V. McFarland, "Meta-analysis of probiotics for the prevention of traveler's diarrhea," *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*, vol. 5, pp. 97-105, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [49] A. J. Wood, H. L. Du Pont, and C. D. Ericsson, "Prevention and treatment of traveler's diarrhea," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 328, pp. 1821-1827, 1993. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [50] H. L. DuPont, "Guidelines on acute infectious diarrhea in adults. The practice parameters committee of the American college of gastroenterology," *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 92, pp. 1962-1975, 1997. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [51] F. Black, P. Andersen, J. Ørskov, F. Ørskov, K. Gaarslev, and S. Laulund, *Prophylactic efficacy of lactobacilli on traveler's diarrhea*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1989.
- [52] E. J. Ruseler-Van, W. Schouten, and L. L. Van, "Pouchitis: Result of microbial imbalance?," *Gut*, vol. 35, pp. 658-664, 1994. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [53] R. B. Sartor, "Current concepts of the etiology and pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis and crohn's disease," *Gastroenterol Clin North Am*, vol. 24, pp. 475-507, 1995. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [54] R. Fabia, A. Ar'Rajab, M. Johansson, R. Willen, R. Andersson, G. Molin, and S. Bengmark, "The effect of exogenous administration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* R2LC and oat fiber on acetic acid-induced colitis in the rat," *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* vol. 28, pp. 155-162, 1993. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [55] M. Malin, H. Suomalainen, M. Saxelin, and E. Isolauri, "Promotion of IgA immune response in patients with crohn's disease by oral bacteriotherapy with *Lactobacillus GG*," *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, vol. 40, pp. 137-145, 1996. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [56] K. Plein and J. Hotz, "Therapeutic effects of *saccharomyces boulardii* on mild residual symptoms in a stable phase of crohn's disease with special respect to chronic diarrhea—a pilot study," *Zeitschrift für Gastroenterologie*, vol. 31, pp. 129-134, 1993. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [57] W. Kruis, E. Schütz, P. Fric, B. Fixa, G. Judmaier, and M. Stolte, "Double-blind comparison of an oral *Escherichia coli* preparation and mesalazine in maintaining remission of ulcerative colitis," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 11, pp. 853-858, 1997. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [58] B. Rembacken, A. Snelling, P. Hawkey, D. Chalmers, and A. Axon, "Non-pathogenic *escherichia coli* versus mesalazine for the treatment of ulcerative colitis: A randomised trial," *Lancet*, vol. 354, pp. 635-639, 1999. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)

- [59] W. G. Thompson, G. Longstreth, D. Drossman, K. Heaton, E. Irvine, and S. Müller-Lissner, "Functional bowel disorders and functional abdominal pain," *Gut*, vol. 45, pp. II43-II47, 1999. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [60] P. Marteau, P. Pochart, Y. Bouhnik, and J.-C. Rambaud, "The fate and effects of transiting, nonpathogenic microorganisms in the human intestine," in *Intestinal Flora, Immunity, Nutrition and Health*. vol. 74, ed: Karger Publishers, 1993, pp. 1-21.
- [61] J. Maupas, P. Champemont, and M. Delforge, "Traitement des colopathies fonctionnelles-essai en double aveugle de l'ultra-levure," *Médecine et Chirurgie Digestive*, vol. 12, pp. 77-79, 1983. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [62] G. M. Halpern, T. Prindiville, M. Blankenburg, T. Hsia, and M. E. Gershwin, "Treatment of irritable bowel syndrome with Lacteol Fort: A randomized, double-blind, cross-over trial," *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 91, pp. 1579-1585, 1996. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [63] C. Hentschel, J. Bauer, N. Dill, B. Blaul, M. Jahnel, M. Lindner, B. Brinkhaus, J. Schonekas, R. Kohnen, and E. Ernst, *Complementary medicine in non-ulcer-dyspepsia: Is alternative medicine a real alternative? A randomised placebo-controlled double blind clinical trial with two probiotic agents (Hylac (R) N and Hylac (R) N forte)*. Gastroenterology, WB Saunders Co-Elsevier Inc 1600 John F Kennedy Boulevard, Ste 1800. Philadelphia, PA 19103-2899 USA, 1997.
- [64] J. Tempé, A. Steidel, H. Blehaut, M. Hasselmann, P. Lutun, and F. Maurier, "Prevention by saccharomyces boulardii of continuous flow enteral feeding diarrhea," *Without Hop Paris*, vol. 59, pp. 1409-1412, 1983.
- [65] M. Schlotterer, P. Bernasconi, F. Lebreton, and D. Wassermann, "Interest of Saccharomyces boulardii in the digestive tolerance of continuous flow enteral nutrition in burns," *Nutrition Clinique et Métabolisme*, vol. 1, pp. 31-34, 1987. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [66] G. Bleichner, H. Blehaut, H. Mentec, and D. Moyses, "Saccharomyces boulardii prevents diarrhea in critically ill tube-fed patients," *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 23, pp. 517-523, 1997. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [67] J. A. Vanderhoof, R. J. Young, N. Murray, and S. S. Kaufman, "Treatment strategies for small bowel bacterial overgrowth in short bowel syndrome," *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, vol. 27, pp. 155-160, 1998. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [68] M. Simenhoff, S. Dunn, G. Zollner, M. Fitzpatrick, S. Emery, W. Sandine, and J. Ayres, "Biomodulation of the toxic and nutritional effects of small bowel bacterial overgrowth in end-stage kidney disease using freeze-dried Lactobacillus acidophilus," *Mineral and Electrolyte Metabolism*, vol. 22, pp. 92-96, 1995.
- [69] A. Attar, B. Flourié, J. C. Rambaud, C. Franchisseur, P. Ruszniewski, and Y. Bouhnik, "Antibiotic efficacy in small intestinal bacterial overgrowth-related chronic diarrhea: A crossover, randomized trial," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 117, pp. 794-797, 1999. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [70] S. Salminen, C. Bouley, M. Boutron-Ruault, J. Cummings, A. Franck, G. Gibson, E. Isolauri, M. Moreau, M. Roberfroid, and I. Rowland, "Gastrointestinal physiology and function—targets for functional food development," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 80, pp. S147-S171, 1998. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [71] G. Elmer, K. Moyer, R. Vega, C. Surawicz, A. Collier, T. Hooton, and L. McFarland, "Evaluation of Saccharomyces boulardii for patients with HIV-related chronic diarrhoea and in healthy volunteers receiving antifungals," *Microecology and Therapy*, pp. 23-31, 1995. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [72] P. Moayyedi, A. C. Ford, N. J. Talley, F. Cremonini, A. E. Foxx-Orenstein, L. J. Brandt, and E. M. Quigley, "The efficacy of probiotics in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A systematic review," *Gut*, vol. 59, pp. 325-332, 2010.
- [73] M. Bausserman and S. Michail, "The use of lactobacillus GG in irritable bowel syndrome in children: A double-blind randomized control trial," *Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 17, pp. 197-201, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [74] K. Kajander, K. Hatakka, T. Poussa, M. Färkkilä, and R. Korpela, "A probiotic mixture alleviates symptoms in irritable bowel syndrome patients: A controlled 6-month intervention," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 22, pp. 387-394, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)

- [75] A. Gawrońska, P. Dziechciarz, A. Horvath, and H. Szajewska, "A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of lactobacillus GG for abdominal pain disorders in children," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 25, pp. 177-184, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [76] K. Kajander, E. Myllyluoma, M. Rajilić-Stojanović, S. Kyrönpalo, M. Rasmussen, S. Järvenpää, E. Zoetendal, W. De Vos, H. Vapaatalo, and R. Korpela, "Clinical trial: multispecies probiotic supplementation alleviates the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome and stabilizes intestinal microbiota," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 27, pp. 48-57, 2008. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [77] S. Johansson, J. B. Hourihane, J. Bousquet, C. Bruijnzeel-Koomen, S. Dreborg, T. Haahtela, M. Kowalski, N. Mygind, J. Ring, and P. Van Cauwenberge, "A revised nomenclature for allergy: an EAACI position statement from the EAACI nomenclature task force," *Allergy*, vol. 56, pp. 813-824, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [78] M. Kalliomäki, S. Salminen, H. Arvilommi, P. Kero, P. Koskinen, and E. Isolauri, "Probiotics in primary prevention of atopic disease: A randomised placebo-controlled trial," *Lancet*, vol. 357, pp. 1076-1079, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [79] M. Kalliomäki and E. Isolauri, "Role of intestinal flora in the development of allergy," *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 3, pp. 15-20, 2003. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [80] B. Björkstén, "Allergy prevention," *Clinical reviews in Allergy & Immunology*, vol. 26, pp. 129-138, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [81] M. Watanabe, K. Matsuoka, E. Kita, K. Igai, N. Higashi, A. Miyagawa, T. Watanabe, R. Yanoshita, Y. Samejima, and D. Terunuma, "Oral therapeutic agents with highly clustered globotriose for treatment of Shiga toxicogenic Escherichia coli infections," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 189, pp. 360-368, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [82] J. Penders, C. Thijs, P. A. van den Brandt, I. Kummeling, B. Snijders, F. Stelma, H. Adams, R. van Ree, and E. E. Stobberingh, "Gut microbiota composition and development of atopic manifestations in infancy: The KOALA birth cohort study," *Gut*, vol. 56, pp. 661-667, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [83] K. D. Stone, C. Prussin, and D. D. Metcalfe, "IgE, mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 125, pp. S73-S80, 2010. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [84] F. S. Larsen and J. M. Hanifin, "Epidemiology of atopic dermatitis," *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America*, vol. 22, pp. 1-24, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [85] H. Majamaa and E. Isolauri, "Probiotics: A novel approach in the management of food allergy," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 99, pp. 179-185, 1997. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [86] V. Rosenfeldt, E. Benfeldt, S. D. Nielsen, K. F. Michaelsen, D. L. Jeppesen, N. H. Valerius, and A. Paerregaard, "Effect of probiotic Lactobacillus strains in children with atopic dermatitis," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 111, pp. 389-395, 2003. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [87] D. P. Strachan, "Hay fever, hygiene, and household size," *BMJ*, vol. 299, pp. 1259-1260, 1989. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [88] Y. Sütas, M. Hurme, and E. Isolauri, "Down-regulation of anti-CD3 antibody-induced IL-4 production by bovine caseins hydrolysed with Lactobacillus GG-derived enzymes," *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*, vol. 43, pp. 687-689, 1996. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [89] Y. Sütas, E. Soppi, H. Korhonen, E. L. Syväoja, M. Saxelin, T. Rokka, and E. Isolauri, "Suppression of lymphocyte proliferation in vitro by bovine caseins hydrolyzed with lactobacillus caseiGG-derived enzymes," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 98, pp. 216-224, 1996. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [90] T. Pessi, Y. Sütas, M. Hurme, and E. Isolauri, "Interleukin-10 generation in atopic children following oral Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG," *Clinical & Experimental Allergy*, vol. 30, pp. 1804-1808, 2000. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [91] E. Isolauri, T. Arvola, Y. Sütas, E. Moilanen, and S. Salminen, "Probiotics in the management of atopic eczema," *Clinical & Experimental Allergy*, vol. 30, pp. 1605-1610, 2000. [View at Google Scholar](#)

- [92] B. B. Aggarwal, R. Vijayalekshmi, and B. Sung, "Targeting inflammatory pathways for prevention and therapy of cancer: Short-term friend, long-term foe," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 15, pp. 425-430, 2009. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [93] I. Wollowski, G. Rechkemmer, and B. L. Pool-Zobel, "Protective role of probiotics and prebiotics in colon cancer," *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 73, pp. 451s-455s, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [94] J. J. Rafter, "The role of lactic acid bacteria in colon cancer prevention," *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology*, vol. 30, pp. 497-502, 1995. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [95] X. Li, G. F. Fu, Y. R. Fan, W. H. Liu, X. J. Liu, J. J. Wang, and G. X. Xu, "Bifidobacterium adolescentis as a delivery system of endostatin for cancer gene therapy: selective inhibitor of angiogenesis and hypoxic tumor growth," *Cancer Gene Therapy*, vol. 10, pp. 105-111, 2003. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [96] Y. Aso, H. Akaza, T. Kotake, T. Tsukamoto, K. Imai, and S. Naito, "Preventive effect of a lactobacillus casei preparation on the recurrence of superficial bladder cancer in a double-blind trial. The BLP study group," *European Urology*, vol. 27, pp. 104-109, 1994. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [97] A. Klinder, A. Forster, G. Caderni, A. P. Femia, and B. L. Pool-Zobel, "Fecal water genotoxicity is predictive of tumor-preventive activities by inulin-like oligofructoses, probiotics (Lactobacillus rhamnosus and Bifidobacterium lactis), and their synbiotic combination," *Nutrition and Cancer*, vol. 49, pp. 144-155, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [98] F. Bolognani, C. J. Rumney, B. L. Pool-Zobel, and I. R. Rowland, "Effect of lactobacilli, bifidobacteria and inulin on the formation of aberrant crypt foci in rats," *European Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 40, pp. 293-300, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [99] B. Pool-Zobel, J. Van Loo, I. Rowland, and M. Roberfroid, "Experimental evidences on the potential of prebiotic fructans to reduce the risk of colon cancer," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 87, pp. S273-S281, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [100] M. Roller, G. Caderni, G. Rechkemmer, and B. Watzl, "19 Long-Term Treatment with a Prebiotic Modulates the Gut-Associated Immune System of Azoxymethane-Treated F344 Rats," in *Functional Food: Safety Aspects: Symposium Forschungsberichte*, 2006, p. 356.
- [101] H. Taper and M. Roberfroid, "Inulin/oligofructose and anticancer therapy," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 87, pp. S283-S286, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [102] M. Verghese, D. Rao, C. Chawan, L. Williams, and L. Shackelford, "Dietary inulin suppresses azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci and colon tumors at the promotion stage in young Fisher 344 rats," *Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 132, pp. 2809-2813, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [103] A. P. Femia, C. Luceri, P. Dolara, A. Giannini, A. Biggeri, M. Salvadori, Y. Clune, K. J. Collins, M. Paglierani, and G. Caderni, "Antitumorigenic activity of the prebiotic inulin enriched with oligofructose in combination with the probiotics lactobacillus rhamnosus and Bifidobacterium lactis on azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in rats," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 23, pp. 1953-1960, 2002. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [104] C. K. Hsu, J. W. Liao, Y. C. Chung, C. P. Hsieh, and Y. C. Chan, "Xylooligosaccharides and fructooligosaccharides affect the intestinal microbiota and precancerous colonic lesion development in rats," *Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 134, pp. 1523-1528, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [105] C. Peterbauer, T. Maischberger, and D. Haltrich, "Food-grade gene expression in lactic acid bacteria," *Biotechnology Journal*, vol. 6, pp. 1147-1161, 2011. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [106] K. Vandenbroucke, W. Hans, J. Van Huysse, S. Neiryneck, P. Demetter, E. Remaut, P. Rottiers, and L. Steidler, "Active delivery of trefoil factors by genetically modified Lactococcus lactis prevents and heals acute colitis in mice," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 127, pp. 502-513, 2004. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [107] P. Rottiers, T. De Smedt, and L. Steidler, "Modulation of gut-associated lymphoid tissue functions with genetically modified Lactococcus lactis," *International Reviews of Immunology*, vol. 28, pp. 465-486, 2009. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)

- [108] N. Ishibashi and S. Yamazaki, "Probiotics and safety," *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 73, pp. 465s-470s, 2001. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [109] T. Didari, S. Solki, S. Mozaffari, S. Nikfar, and M. Abdollahi, "A systematic review of the safety of probiotics," *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, vol. 13, pp. 227-239, 2014. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [110] S. Doron and D. R. Snyderman, "Risk and safety of probiotics," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 60, pp. S129-S134, 2015. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [111] J. Plaza-Diaz, C. Gomez-Llorente, L. Fontana, and A. Gil, "Modulation of immunity and inflammatory gene expression in the gut, in inflammatory diseases of the gut and in the liver by probiotics," *World journal of gastroenterology: WJG*, vol. 20, p. 15632, 2014. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [112] J. Villena, H. Aso, and H. Kitazawa, "Regulation of toll-like receptors-mediated inflammation by immunobiotics in bovine intestinal epitheliocytes: role of signaling pathways and negative regulators," *Frontiers in immunology*, vol. 5, p. 421, 2014. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [113] C. G. Owen, R. M. Martin, P. H. Whincup, G. D. Smith, and D. G. Cook, "Effect of infant feeding on the risk of obesity across the life course: A quantitative review of published evidence," *Pediatrics*, vol. 115, pp. 1367-1377, 2005. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [114] B. M. Nathan and A. Moran, "Metabolic complications of obesity in childhood and adolescence: More than just diabetes," *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity*, vol. 15, pp. 21-29, 2008. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [115] F. Bäckhed, H. Ding, T. Wang, L. V. Hooper, G. Y. Koh, A. Nagy, C. F. Semenkovich, and J. I. Gordon, "The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage," in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2004, pp. 15718-15723.
- [116] R. E. Ley, F. Bäckhed, P. Turnbaugh, C. A. Lozupone, R. D. Knight, and J. I. Gordon, "Obesity alters gut microbial ecology," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 102, pp. 11070-11075., 2005.
- [117] P. J. Turnbaugh, R. E. Ley, M. A. Mahowald, V. Magrini, E. R. Mardis, and J. I. Gordon, "An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest," *Nature*, vol. 444, pp. 1027-1131, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#)
- [118] R. E. Ley, P. J. Turnbaugh, S. Klein, and J. I. Gordon, "Microbial ecology: Human gut microbes associated with obesity," *Nature*, vol. 444, pp. 1022-1023, 2006. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [119] M. Kalliomäki, M. C. Collado, S. Salminen, and E. Isolauri, "Early differences in fecal microbiota composition in children may predict overweight," *American journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 87, pp. 534-538, 2008. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [120] A. Schwartz, D. Taras, K. Schäfer, S. Beijer, N. A. Bos, C. Donus, and P. D. Hardt, "Microbiota and SCFA in lean and overweight healthy subjects," *Obesity*, vol. 18, pp. 190-195, 2010. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [121] X. Wu, C. Ma, L. Han, M. Nawaz, F. Gao, X. Zhang, P. Yu, C. a. Zhao, X. Li, and A. Zhou, "Molecular characterisation of the faecal microbiota in patients with type II diabetes," *Current Microbiology* vol. 61, pp. 69-78, 2010. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [122] P. D. Cani, J. Amar, M. A. Iglesias, M. Poggi, C. Knauf, D. Bastelica, A. M. Neyrinck, F. Fava, K. M. Tuohy, and C. Chabo, "Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance," *Diabetes*, vol. 56, pp. 1761-1772, 2007.
- [123] P. D. Cani, S. Possemiers, T. Van de Wiele, Y. Guiot, A. Everard, O. Rottier, L. Geurts, D. Naslain, A. Neyrinck, and D. M. Lambert, "Changes in gut microbiota control inflammation in obese mice through a mechanism involving GLP-2-driven improvement of gut permeability," *Gut*, vol. 58, pp. 1091-1103, 2009. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [124] E. M. Dewulf, P. D. Cani, A. M. Neyrinck, S. Possemiers, A. Van Holle, G. G. Muccioli, L. Deldicque, L. B. Bindels, B. D. Pachikian, and F. M. Sohet, "Inulin-type fructans with prebiotic properties counteract GPR43 overexpression and PPARγ-related adipogenesis in the white adipose tissue of high-fat diet-fed mice," *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, vol. 22, pp. 712-722, 2011. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)

- [125] P. D. Cani, A. M. Neyrinck, F. Fava, C. Knauf, R. G. Burcelin, K. M. Tuohy, G. Gibson, and N. M. Delzenne, "Selective increases of bifidobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-diet-induced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia," *Diabetologia*, vol. 50, pp. 2374-2383, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [126] S. H. Duncan, A. Belenguer, G. Holtrop, A. M. Johnstone, H. J. Flint, and G. E. Lobley, "Reduced dietary intake of carbohydrates by obese subjects results in decreased concentrations of butyrate and butyrate-producing bacteria in feces," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, pp. 1073-1078, 2007. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [127] M. E. Sanders, "Effect of consumption of lactic cultures on human health," *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*, vol. 37, pp. 67-67, 1993. [View at Google Scholar](#) | [View at Publisher](#)
- [128] L. Alm, D. Humble, E. Ryd-Kjellen, and G. Setterberg, "The effect of acidophilus milk in the treatment of constipation in hospitalised geriatric patients," presented at the Symposia of the Swedish Nutrition Foundation (Sweden), Almqvist och Wiksell International, 1983.
- [129] T. Ogawa, R. Hirai, H. Nakakuni, Y. Sato, S. Wakisaka, M. Tachibana, H. Tominaga, M. Kurata, and K. Matsubayashi, "Clinical experience with the use of the high concentration lactic acid bacteria preparation LP-201 to treat habitual constipation," *Clinical Reports* vol. 8, pp. 1085-1092, 1974. [View at Google Scholar](#)

Views and opinions expressed in this article are the views and opinions of the author(s), Journal of Asian Scientific Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content.