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ABSTRACT

In this Paper, a method based on the Tau method by canonical polynomials as the basis function is developed to find the numerical solutions of general $n^{th}$ order nonlinear integro-differential equations. The differential parts appearing in the equation are used to construct the canonical polynomials and the nonlinear cases are linearized by the Newton’s linearization scheme of order $n$ and hence resulted to the use of iteration. Numerical examples are given to illustrate the effectiveness, convergence and the computational cost of the methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear differential equations are used in modelling many real life problems in science and engineering. Nonlinear ordinary differential equations mostly defy closed form solutions because the actual elegant theory valid for their linear counterparts often fails for them. Newton’s linearization procedures leading to the use of iteration are commonly employed to facilitate provision of analytic solution.

This paper concerns the development of the Tau numerical method by canonical polynomials as the basis function see Taiwo O A (2005) for the solution of $n^{th}$ order integro-differential equation. The Tau numerical method by Chebyshev polynomials has found extensive application in recent year see (Taiwo O A and Evans D. J, 1997; Taiwo O A, 2007; Taiwo O A and Ishola C Y, 2009) to mention a few for the case of numerical solution of ordinary differential equations. Applications of

This paper is aimed, therefore, to work in this direction of extending the Tau numerical method by canonical polynomial as the basis function for the solution of general nth order integro-differential equations. Finally, some results are presented to demonstrate the efficiency of the new method compared with those results available in Behiry S H and Mohamed S I (2012).

For the purpose of our discussion, we consider the nonlinear general nth-order ordinary integro-differential equation of the form:

\[ G_y^n \equiv y^n (x) - f (x, y(x), y'(x)) y'(x) + \int_a^b k(x,t) y(t) dt = g(x); \quad a \leq x \leq b. \]  

(1)

together with the linear boundary conditions

\[ A_1 y(a) + A_2 y'(a) + A_3 y''(a) + A_4 y'''(a) + \ldots + A_{n-1} y^{n-2} = \alpha \]

\[ B_1 y(b) + B_2 y'(b) + B_3 y''(b) + B_4 y'''(b) + \ldots + B_{n-1} y^{n-2} = \beta \]

\[ C_1 y(c) + C_2 y'(c) + C_3 y''(c) + C_4 y'''(c) + \ldots + C_{n-1} y^{n-2} = \gamma \]

\[ D_1 y(d) + D_2 y'(d) + D_3 y''(d) + D_4 y'''(d) + \ldots + D_{n-1} y^{n-2} = \chi \]

(2)

Here, \( A, B, C, D, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( \chi \) are constants and \( y(x) \) are unknown functions, \( g(x) \) and \( k(x,t) \) are any given smooth function and in this case it can be linear or nonlinear and \( f \) is generally nonlinear.

Many numerical techniques have been used successively for equations (1) & (2) and in this section, we discussed in details a straightforward yet generally applicable techniques, the Tau numerical collocation method by canonical polynomial as the basis function. The Newton’s scheme from the Taylor’s series expansion is represented around \((x_n, t_n, y_n)\) in the following form

\[ G + \Delta y \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \Delta y' \frac{\partial G}{\partial y'} + \Delta y'' \frac{\partial G}{\partial y''} + \ldots + \Delta y^{n-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^{n-1}} + \Delta y^n \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^n} \]

\[ + \int_a^b \left[ k(x_n,t_n,y_n) + (x - x_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} (x_n,t_n,y_n) + (t - t_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} (x_n,t_n,y_n) \right] y(t) dt = g(x); \quad a \leq x \leq b. \]  

(3)
The integral parts of equation (3), where \( t \) is an independent variable, \( y \) is the dependent variable, are integrated with respect to \( t \) to obtain

\[
G + \Delta y \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \Delta y' \frac{\partial G}{\partial y'} + \Delta y'' \frac{\partial G}{\partial y''} + \cdots + \Delta y^{n-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^{n-1}} + \Delta y^n \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^n} + \int_a^b k(x_n, t_n, y_n) y(t) dt
\]

\[
+ \left[ (x - x_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(x_n, t_n, y_n) + (t - t_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(x_n, t_n, y_n) + (y - y_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(x_n, t_n, y_n) \right]
\]

\[
\times \int_a^b (t - t_n) dt = g(x)
\]  

(4)

Hence, from equation (1), we obtain the following

\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial y} = f_y y', \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial y'} = -(f_y) y' - f, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial y''} = 1,
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

and \( \Delta y^{(j)}(x) = y^{(j)}_{k+1}(x) - y^{(j)}_k(x), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \)

Thus, substituting equation (5) into equation (4), after simplification, we obtain

\[
y^{n+1}_{n+1}(x) + \cdots + y^{n+1}_{n+1}(x) + (f_n + f_{y,n} y') y^{n+1}_{n+1}(x) + f_{y,y} y^{n+1}_{n+1}(x) + f_{n,n} y^{n+1}_{n+1}(x)
\]

\[
+ \left[ k(x_n, t_n, y_n) + (x - x_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_n) \right] \int_a^b y^{n+1}_{n+1}(t) dt
\]

\[
+ \left[ k(x_n, t_n, y_n) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_n) \right] \int_a^b \left( t - t_n \right) + \cdots + \left( y^{n+1}_{n+1} - y^{n}_{n+1} \right) y^{n+1}_{n+1}(t) dt
\]

\[
= g(x).
\]  

(6)

Thus, equation (6) is the linearized form of equation (1).

In order to solve equation (6), we assumed an approximate solution of the form

\[
y^{n+1}_{N,n+1}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_{N,n} \Phi_{N,n}(x); \quad a \leq x \leq b,
\]

(7)
where $\Phi_{N,n}(x)$, $(n = 0,1,2,..., t)$ are the canonical basis functions generated below, $a_{N,n}$ are the unknown constants to be determined, N is the degree of the approximant used and n is the number of iteration to be carried-out.

Construction of Canonical Polynomials for nth-order IDEs.

Consider the nth-order integro-differential equation

$$P_0(x)y(x) + P_1(x)y'(x) + P_2(x)y''(x) + P_3(x)y'''(x) + \cdots + P_n(x)y^n(x) + \int_{a}^{b} k(x,t)y(t)dt = g(x)$$

(8)

subject to the conditions

$$y(a) + y'(a) + \cdots + y^{n-1}(a) = A$$

(9)

$$y(b) + y'(b) + \cdots + y^{n-1}(b) = B$$

(10)

Where $P_i(x)$; $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots n$ can be variable or constants coefficients.

We define the following operator

$$D = P_n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} + P_{n-1} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} + \cdots + P_1 \frac{d}{dx} + P_0.$$  

(11)

A set of polynomials $\Phi_n(x)$, $(n = 0,1,2,3,\ldots)$ is defined by

$$D\Phi_n(x) = x^n.$$  

(12)

Which is uniquely associated with the operated D and, which is obtained recursively as,

$$\Phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \{x^n - P_1n\Phi_{n-1}(x) - P_2n(n-1)\Phi_{n-2}(x) - P_3n(n-1)(n-2)\Phi_{n-3}(x) \cdots \}; \quad n \geq 0.$$  

(13)

Construction of Canonical polynomial for case $n = 2$

In order to generate the canonical polynomial, we consider the differential part of equation (6) i.e.

$$L \equiv P_2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + P_1 \frac{d}{dx} + P_0$$

$$L\Phi_i(x) = x^i$$
\[ Lx^i = P_2^i(i - 1)x^{i-2} + P_1^i x^{i-1} + P_0^i x^i \]

\[ L[L\Phi_i(x)] = P_2^i(i - 1)L\Phi_{i-2}(x) + P_1^i L\Phi_{i-1}(x) + P_0^i L\Phi_i(x) \]

\[ x^i = P_2^i(i - 1)\Phi_{i-2}(x) + P_1^i \Phi_{i-1}(x) + P_0^i \Phi_i(x) \]

\[ \Phi_i(x) = \frac{1}{P_0^i} \left[ x^i - P_1^i \Phi_{i-1}(x) - P_2^i(i - 1)\Phi_{i-2}(x) \right] i \geq 0; P_0^i \neq 0 \quad (14) \]

Thus, equation (14) is the recurrence relation

For \( i = 0 \):
\[ \Phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{P_0^0} \]

For \( i = 1 \):
\[ \Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{P_0^1} (x - P_1^1 \Phi_0(x)) = \frac{x}{P_0^1} - \frac{P_1^1}{P_0^2} \]

For \( i = 2 \):
\[ \Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{P_0^2} \left[ x^2 - 2P_1^2 \Phi_1(x) - 2P_2^2 \Phi_0(x) \right] = \frac{x^2}{P_0^2} - 2x \frac{P_1^2}{P_0^3} + 2 \frac{P_1^2}{P_0^3} - 2 \frac{P_2^2}{P_0^3} \]

\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{P_0^3} \left[ x^3 - 3P_1^3 \Phi_2(x) - 6P_2^3 \Phi_1(x) \right] \]

For \( i = 3 \):
\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{x^3}{P_0^3} - \frac{3x^2 P_1^3}{P_0^4} + \frac{6x P_1^3}{P_0^4} - \frac{6P_1^3}{P_0^4} - \frac{6x P_2^3}{P_0^4} \]

For \( i = 4 \):
\[ \Phi_4(x) = \frac{1}{P_0^4} \left[ x^4 - 4P_1^4 \Phi_3(x) - 12P_2^4 \Phi_2(x) \right] \]

\[ = \left[ \frac{x^4}{P_0^4} - \frac{4x^3 P_1^4}{P_0^5} + \frac{12x^2 P_2^4}{P_0^5} - \frac{24x P_1^4}{P_0^5} + \frac{24P_1^4}{P_0^5} - \frac{12x^2 P_2^4}{P_0^5} - \frac{12P_2^4}{P_0^5} + \frac{24P_1^2}{P_0^5} + \frac{24P_2^2}{P_0^5} \right] \]

For the case \( n = 3 \), we define our operator as:

\[ L = P_3^3 \frac{d^3}{dx^3} + P_2^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + P_1^1 \frac{d}{dx} + P_0^0 \]
\[ L\Phi_i(x) = x^i \]

\[ Lx^i = P_i(i-1)(i-2)x^{i-3} + P_2i(i-1)x^{i-2} + P_1ix^{i-1} + P_0x^i \]

\[ L[L\Phi_i(x)] = P_i(i-1)(i-2)L\Phi_{i-3}(x) + P_2i(i-1)L\Phi_{i-2}(x) + P_1iL\Phi_{i-1}(x) + P_0L\Phi_i(x) \]

\[ x^i = P_i(i-1)(i-2)\Phi_{i-3}(x) + P_2i(i-1)\Phi_{i-2}(x) + P_1i\Phi_{i-1}(x) + P_0\Phi_i(x) \]

\[ \Phi_i(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^i - P_i i \Phi_{i-1}(x) - P_2i(i-1)\Phi_{i-2}(x) - P_1i(i-1)(i-2)\Phi_{i-3}(x) \right], i \geq 0; P_0 \neq 0 \]

(15)

Thus, equation (15) is the recurrence relation

For \( i = 0 \):

\[ \Phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \]

For \( i = 1 \):

\[ \Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} (x - P_1\Phi_0(x)) = \frac{x}{P_0} - \frac{P_1}{P_0^2} \]

For \( i = 2 \):

\[ \Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^2 - 2P_1\Phi_1(x) - 2P_2\Phi_0(x) \right] = \frac{x^2}{P_0} - 2\frac{x}{P_0} \frac{P_1}{P_0^2} + 2\frac{P_1^2}{P_0^3} - 2\frac{P_2}{P_0^2} \]

\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^3 - 3P_1\Phi_2(x) - 6P_2\Phi_1(x) - 6P_3\Phi_0(x) \right] \]

For \( i = 3 \):

\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{x^3}{P_0} - \frac{3xP_1}{P_0^2} + \frac{6xP_1^2}{P_0^3} - \frac{6P_1^3}{P_0^4} - \frac{6xP_2}{P_0^2} - \frac{6P_3}{P_0^2} \]

For \( i = 4 \):

\[ \Phi_4(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^4 - 4P_1\Phi_3(x) - 12P_2\Phi_2(x) - 24P_3\Phi_1(x) \right] \]

\[ = \left[ \frac{x^4}{P_0} - \frac{4x^3P_1}{P_0^2} + \frac{12x^2P_1^2}{P_0^3} - \frac{24xP_1^3}{P_0^4} + \frac{24P_1^4}{P_0^5} - \frac{72P_1^2P_2}{P_0^3} + \frac{48P_1P_3}{P_0^3} - \frac{12x^2P_2}{P_0^2} - \frac{24xP_3}{P_0^2} + \frac{24P_2^2}{P_0^3} \right] \]

\[ \vdots \]

For the case \( n = 4 \), we define our operator as:
\[ L = P_4 \frac{d^4}{dx^4} + P_3 \frac{d^3}{dx^3} + P_2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + P_1 \frac{d}{dx} + P_0 \]

\[ L \Phi_i(x) = x^i \]

\[ Lx^i = P_4 i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)x^{i-4} + P_3 i(i-1)(i-2)x^{i-3} + P_2 i(i-1)x^{i-2} + P_1 ix^{i-1} + P_0 x^i \]

\[ L[ L \Phi_i(x) ] = P_4 i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)L \Phi_{i-4}(x) + P_3 i(i-1)(i-2)L \Phi_{i-3}(x) + P_2 i(i-1)L \Phi_{i-2}(x) \]

\[ + P_1 iL \Phi_{i-1}(x) + P_0 L \Phi_i(x) \]

\[ x^i = P_4 i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3) \Phi_{i-4}(x) + P_3 i(i-1)(i-2) \Phi_{i-3}(x) + P_2 i(i-1) \Phi_{i-2}(x) + P_1 i \Phi_{i-1}(x) + P_0 \Phi_i(x) \]

\[ \Phi_i(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^i - P_1 i \Phi_{i-1}(x) - P_2 i(i-1) \Phi_{i-2}(x) - P_3 i(i-2) \Phi_{i-3}(x) + P_4 i(i-1)(i-2) \Phi_{i-4}(x) \right] \]

\[ i \geq 0; P_0 \neq 0 \]

Thus, equation (16) is the recurrence relation

For \( i = 0 \):

\[ \Phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \]

For \( i = 1 \):

\[ \Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} (x - P_1 \Phi_0(x)) = \frac{x}{P_0} - \frac{P_1}{P_0} \]

For \( i = 2 \):

\[ \Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^2 - 2P_1 \Phi_1(x) - 2P_2 \Phi_0(x) \right] = \frac{x^2}{P_0} - 2x \frac{P_1}{P_0^2} + 2 \frac{P_1^2}{P_0^3} - 2 \frac{P_2}{P_0^2} \]

\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^3 - 3P_1 \Phi_2(x) - 6P_2 \Phi_1(x) - 6P_3 \Phi_0(x) \right] \]

For \( i = 3 \):

\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{x^3}{P_0} - 3x^2 \frac{P_1}{P_0^2} + 6x \frac{P_1^2}{P_0^3} - 6 \frac{P_1^3}{P_0^4} - 6x^2 \frac{P_2}{P_0^3} + 6 \frac{P_2^2}{P_0^4} - 6 \frac{P_3}{P_0^3} \]

For \( i = 4 \):
\[ \Phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ x^4 - 4P_3\Phi_3(x) - 12P_2\Phi_2(x) - 24P_1\Phi_1(x) \right] \]
\[ = \left[ \frac{x^4}{P_0} - \frac{4x^3P_1}{P_0^2} + \frac{12x^2P_1^2}{P_0^3} - \frac{24xP_1^3}{P_0^4} + \frac{24P_3}{P_0^5} - \frac{12xP_2}{P_0^6} + \frac{24P_3}{P_0^7} - \frac{12xP_2}{P_0^8} + \frac{24P_3}{P_0^9} - \frac{24P_2^2}{P_0^{10}} \right] \]

**DESCRIPTION OF METHODS**

**Perturbed Collocation Method**

In this section, we discuss the collocation Tau numerical solution for the solutions of the linearized equation (6).

In this method, after the evaluation of the integrals in equation (6), equation (7) is substituted into a slightly perturbed equation (6) to give

\[ y_{N,N+1}^n(x) + \cdots + y_{N,N+1}^n(x) + (f_n + f_{yN,y'}(x))y_{N,N+1}^n(x) + f_{yN,y'}y_{N,N+1}^n(x) + f_{yN,y'}y_{N,N+1}^n(x) \]
\[ + \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,N}) + (x - x_n) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,N}) \right] \int_{a_n}^{b_n} y_{N,N+1}(t) \, dt \]
\[ + \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,N}) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,N}) \right] \times \int_{a_n}^{b_n} (t - t_n) + \cdots + (y_{N,n+1} - y_{N,n})y_{N,n+1}(t) \, dt \]
\[ = g(x) + H_N(x). \] (17)

**Boundary Conditions**

\[ y_{N,n+1}^{a-1}(a) + \cdots + y_{N,n+1}^{a+1}(a) = \alpha \]
\[ y_{N,n+1}^{b-1}(b) + \cdots + y_{N,n+1}^{b+1}(b) = \beta \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ y_{N,n+1}^{f-1}(f) + \cdots + y_{N,n+1}^{f+1}(f) = \chi \] (18)

Where \( H_N(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \tau_i T_{N-i-1}(x) \) and \( \tau_N(x) \) is the Chebyshev polynomials of degree N valid in [a, b] and is defined by,

\[ T_N(x) = \cos \left[ N\cos^{-1} \left( \frac{2x - b - a}{b - a} \right) \right], \quad a \leq x \leq b. \] (19)
The recurrence relation of equation (19) is given as:

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2 \left( \frac{2x - b - a}{b - a} \right) T_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The Chebyshev polynomials oscillate with equal amplitude in the range under consideration and this makes the Chebyshev polynomials suitable in function approximation problem.

Thus, equation (17) is collocated at point \(x = x_k\), hence, we get

$$y^a_{N,n+1}(x_k) + \cdots + y^a_{N,n+1}(x_k) + \left( f_n + f_{yn} y'(x_k) \right) y^a_{N,n+1}(x_k) + f_{yN,n}(x_k) y^a_{N,n+1}(x_k)$$

$$+ f_{yN,n}(x_k) y^a_{N,n+1}(x_k) + \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,n}(x_k)) + \left( x_k - x_n \right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,n}(x_k)) \right] \int_0^1 y_{N,n+1}(t) dt$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,n}(x_k)) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n}(x_n, t_n, y_{N,n}(x_k)) \right] \int_0^1 \left( t - t_n + y_{N,n+1}(t) - y_{N,n}(t) \right) dt = g(x_k) + H(x_k)$$

\hspace{1cm} (21)

Where for some obvious practical reasons, we have chosen the collocation points to be

$$x_k = a + \frac{(b - a)k}{N + 2}, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N + 1.$$

Thus, we have \((N + 1)\) collocation equations in \((N + 3)\) unknowns \((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N, \tau_1\) and \(\tau_2)\) constants to be determined.

Other extra equations are obtained from equation (18).

Altogether, we have a total of \((N + 3)\) algebraic linear systems of equations in \((N + 3)\) unknown constants. The \((N + 3)\) linear algebraic systems of equations are then solved by Gaussian elimination method to obtain the unknown constants \(a_i (i \geq 0)\) which are then substituted back into the approximate solution given in equation (7).
STANDARD COLLOCATION METHOD

In this section, we discuss the collocation Tau numerical solution for the solutions of the linearized equation (6).

In this method, after the evaluation of the integrals in equation (6), equation (7) is substituted into the linearized equation (6), to obtain

\[
P_n y_N^{n+1}(x) + \cdots + P_2 y_N^{n+1}(x) + P_1 \left( f_n + f_{y_n} y'(x) y_N^{n+1}(x) + f_{y_n y_N} (x) y_N^{n+1}(x) + f_{y_n y_N y_N} y_N^{n+1}(x) \right)
\]
Where for some obvious practical reasons, we have chosen the collocation points to be

\[ x_k = a + \frac{(b-a)k}{N}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N-1. \]

Thus, we have \( N \) collocation equations in \((N+1)\) unknowns \((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)\) constants to be determined.

Other extra equations are obtained from equation (23).

Altogether, we have a total of \((N+1)\) algebraic linear system of equations in \((N+1)\) unknown constants. The \((N+1)\) linear algebraic systems of equations are then solved by Gaussian elimination method to obtain the unknown constants \(a_i (i \geq 0)\) which are then substituted back into the approximate solution given in equation (7).

**Remark**: All the above procedures have been automated by the use of symbolic algebraic program MATLAB 7.9 and no manual computation is required at any stage.

**Error Estimation**

In this section, we perform the estimating error for the Integro-Differential Equations. Let us call

\[ e_n(s) = y(s) - y_N(s) \]

the error function of the Tau approximation \(y_N(s)\) to \(y(s)\).

where \(y(s)\) is the exact solution of

\[ Dy(s) + \lambda \int_a^b k(s, t)y(t)dt = f(s) \quad s \in [a, b], \]

(25)

together with the condition

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \left[ c_{jk}^1 y_n^{(k-1)}(a) + c_{jk}^2 y_n^{(k-1)}(b) \right] = d_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_d. \]

(26)

Therefore, \(y_N(s)\) satisfies the problem

\[ Dy_n(s) + \lambda \int_a^b k(s, t)y_n(t)dt = f(s) + H_n(s), \quad s \in [a, b], \]

(27)

together with the condition
\begin{equation}
\sum_{k=1}^{n_d} \left[ c_{1k} y_n^{(k-i)}(a) + c_{2k} y_n^{(k-i)}(b) \right] = d_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_d. \tag{28}
\end{equation}

$H_{d}(s)$ is a perturbation term associated with $y_M(s)$ and can be obtained by substituting $y_M(s)$ into the equation

$$H_n(s) = D y_n(s) + \lambda \int_{a}^{b} k(s,t) y_n(t) dt - f(s).$$

We proceed to find an approximation $e_{n,N}(s)$ to the $e_N(s)$ in the same way as we did before for the solution of problem (6). Subtracting equations (27) and (28) from (25) and (26), respectively, the error equation with the homogeneous condition is followed:

$$De_n(s) - \lambda \int_{a}^{b} k(s,t) e_n(t) dt = -H_n(s), \quad s \in [a,b], \tag{29}$$

together with the condition

\begin{equation}
\sum_{k=1}^{n_d} \left[ c_{1k} y_n^{(k-i)}(a) + c_{2k} y_n^{(k-i)}(b) \right] = d_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_d. \tag{30}
\end{equation}

and solving this problem in the same way, we get the approximation $e_{n,N}(s)$. It should be noted that in order to construct the Tau approximation $e_{n,N}(s)$ to $e_{n}(s)$, only the right-hand side of system (29) needs to be recomputed, the structure of the coefficient matrix $G_n$ remains the same.

**NUMERICAL EXAMPLES**

**Numerical Experiments and Discussion**

In this section, we present numerical results obtained with that obtained by Behiry S H and Mohamed S I (2012), that considered these problems stated below as test problems and the problems are of orders 5, 6 and 8 nonlinear integro-differential equations. We present tables of exact solutions, results of methods used and the results obtained by Behiry S H and Mohamed S I (2012) for different values of the approximants.

Example 1: Consider the nonlinear integro-differential equation.

$$x^3 y^{(5)}(x) - 2y'(x) + xy(x) = x^4 + 5x^3 - 4e^x - \frac{(e^2 - 1)}{4} x + 2 + \int_{0}^{x} ty(t) dt + \int_{0}^{1} xy^2(t) dt,$$

$$0 \leq x \leq 1$$
together with the following initial conditions.

\[ y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 1, \quad y''(0) = 2, \quad y'''(0) = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad y^iv(0) = 4. \]

The exact solution is given as \( y(x) = xe^x \). For favorable comparison, we have chosen our initial guess \( y_{N,k} = xe^x \). Here \( k \) is the number of iterations in the new method and \( N \) is the degree of approximant used.

**Table-1a.** Table of solution for example 1(\( k = 5, N = 5 \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Exact value</th>
<th>Standard method</th>
<th>Collocation Method</th>
<th>Perturbed Collocation Method</th>
<th>Result Obtained by Behiry and Mohamed [2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1105170918</td>
<td>0.1105170645</td>
<td>0.1105170920</td>
<td>0.1105170918</td>
<td>0.1105170918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.24428005516</td>
<td>0.24428005427</td>
<td>0.24428005427</td>
<td>0.24428005516</td>
<td>0.24428005516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4049576423</td>
<td>0.4049576451</td>
<td>0.4049576451</td>
<td>0.4049576423</td>
<td>0.4049576423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5967298791</td>
<td>0.5967298684</td>
<td>0.5967298791</td>
<td>0.5967298791</td>
<td>0.5967298791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8243606354</td>
<td>0.8243606246</td>
<td>0.8243606354</td>
<td>0.8243606354</td>
<td>0.8243606354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0932712800</td>
<td>1.0932712800</td>
<td>1.0932712800</td>
<td>1.0932712800</td>
<td>1.0932712800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.409626895</td>
<td>1.409626895</td>
<td>1.409626895</td>
<td>1.409626895</td>
<td>1.409626895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.780432743</td>
<td>1.780432743</td>
<td>1.780432743</td>
<td>1.780432743</td>
<td>1.780432743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.213642800</td>
<td>2.213642800</td>
<td>2.213642800</td>
<td>2.213642800</td>
<td>2.213642800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.718281828</td>
<td>2.718281828</td>
<td>2.718281828</td>
<td>2.718281828</td>
<td>2.718281828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-1b.** Table of error for example 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Standard method</th>
<th>Collocation Method</th>
<th>Result Obtained by Behiry and Mohamed [2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0000000E+00</td>
<td>0.0000000E+00</td>
<td>0.0000000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2700000E-08</td>
<td>9.8000000E-09</td>
<td>1.2700000E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.4500000E-08</td>
<td>8.9000000E-09</td>
<td>7.4500000E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.8200000E-08</td>
<td>2.8000000E-09</td>
<td>7.8200000E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.5600000E-08</td>
<td>1.0700000E-08</td>
<td>3.5600000E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4100000E-07</td>
<td>1.0800000E-08</td>
<td>1.4100000E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.5710000E-06</td>
<td>1.2600000E-06</td>
<td>2.5710000E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.7300000E-07</td>
<td>3.5000000E-08</td>
<td>5.7300000E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.2200000E-07</td>
<td>8.9000000E-08</td>
<td>3.2200000E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7210000E-06</td>
<td>6.1100000E-07</td>
<td>1.7210000E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0000002E-09</td>
<td>2.9999998E-09</td>
<td>3.00000002E-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 2: Consider the nonlinear integro-differential equation
\[
x^4y^{(6)}(x) + y^{(3)}(x) + y'(x) = -x^4 \cos x + 0.5 \sin 2x + 3x + 0.4 - 0.1e\{\cos(1) + \sin(1)}\times[\cos^2(1) + 3e]\} - 2\int_0^x [1 + y^2(t)dt + \int_0^1 e' y^3(t)dt
\]
together with the following initial conditions.
\[
y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y''(0) = -1, \quad y'''(0) = 0, \quad y^{(4)}(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y^{(5)}(0) = 0.
\]
The exact solution is \(y(x) = \cos x\). The results of applying above methods with initial guess
\(y_{N,k} = 1 - x\) are given as follows \((k\) denotes the number of iterations in new method and \(N\) the degree of approximant used).

### Table-2a. Table of solution for example 2 \((k = 5, N = 5)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Exact value</th>
<th>Standard Collocation method</th>
<th>Perturbed Collocation Method</th>
<th>Result Obtained by Behiry and Mohamed [2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9950041653</td>
<td>0.9950042014</td>
<td>0.9950041476</td>
<td>0.9950041653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9800665778</td>
<td>0.9800665650</td>
<td>0.9800665694</td>
<td>0.9800665778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9553364891</td>
<td>0.9553365047</td>
<td>0.9553364781</td>
<td>0.9553364891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.921060994</td>
<td>0.921061086</td>
<td>0.921060852</td>
<td>0.921060994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8775825619</td>
<td>0.8775826457</td>
<td>0.8775825569</td>
<td>0.8775825619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8253356149</td>
<td>0.8253357162</td>
<td>0.8253356046</td>
<td>0.8253356149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7648421873</td>
<td>0.7648422641</td>
<td>0.7648421764</td>
<td>0.7648421873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6967067093</td>
<td>0.6967067270</td>
<td>0.6967066982</td>
<td>0.6967067093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6216099683</td>
<td>0.6216010098</td>
<td>0.6216099512</td>
<td>0.6216099683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5403023059</td>
<td>0.5403023562</td>
<td>0.5403023056</td>
<td>0.5403023059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-2b. Table of error for example 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Standard method</th>
<th>Collocation</th>
<th>Perturbed method</th>
<th>Collocation</th>
<th>Result Obtained by Behiry and Mohamed [2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.6100000E-08</td>
<td>1.7700000E-08</td>
<td>3.6100000E-08</td>
<td>3.6100000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.2200000E-08</td>
<td>8.3999999E-09</td>
<td>7.2200000E-08</td>
<td>7.2200000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5600000E-08</td>
<td>1.1000000E-08</td>
<td>1.5600000E-08</td>
<td>1.5600000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.2000000E-08</td>
<td>1.4200000E-07</td>
<td>9.2000000E-08</td>
<td>9.2000000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.3800000E-08</td>
<td>5.0000000E-09</td>
<td>8.3800000E-08</td>
<td>8.3800000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0130000E-07</td>
<td>1.0300000E-08</td>
<td>1.0130000E-07</td>
<td>1.0130000E-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.6800000E-08</td>
<td>1.0900000E-08</td>
<td>7.6800000E-08</td>
<td>7.6800000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7700000E-08</td>
<td>1.1100000E-08</td>
<td>1.7700000E-08</td>
<td>1.7700000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.9585000E-06</td>
<td>1.7100000E-08</td>
<td>8.9585000E-06</td>
<td>8.9585000E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0300000E-08</td>
<td>2.9999991E-10</td>
<td>5.0300000E-08</td>
<td>5.0300000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 3. Consider the nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation

\[
y^{(8)}(x) - \pi^8 y(x) = \frac{x}{2} - \int_0^x y^2(t)\,dt + \frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{2\pi} \int_0^1 (\cos(\pi t) - y(t))\,y(t)\,dt, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1
\]

With the initial conditions

\[
y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = \pi, \quad y''(0) = 0, \quad y'''(0) = -\pi^4, \quad y''''(0) = 0, \quad y'''''(0) = \pi^5, \quad y''''''(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y'''''''(0) = -\pi^7.
\]

The exact solution is \( y(x) = \sin(\pi x) \). The results of applying above methods with initial guess

\[
y_{N,k} = \frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{2\pi}
\]

are given as follows ( \( k \) denotes the number of iterations in new method and \( N \) the degree of approximant used).

**Table-3a.** Table of solution for example 3 \((k = 5, N = 5)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Exact value</th>
<th>Standard Collocation method</th>
<th>Perturbed Collocation Method</th>
<th>Result Obtained by Behiry and Mohamed [2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3090169944</td>
<td>0.3090169992</td>
<td>0.3090169849</td>
<td>0.3090169944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5877852523</td>
<td>0.5877852684</td>
<td>0.5877852498</td>
<td>0.5877852523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8090169944</td>
<td>0.8090169998</td>
<td>0.8090169870</td>
<td>0.8090169944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9510565163</td>
<td>0.9510565248</td>
<td>0.9510565041</td>
<td>0.9510565163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.00000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9510565163</td>
<td>0.9510565248</td>
<td>0.9510565041</td>
<td>0.9510565163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8090169944</td>
<td>0.8090169998</td>
<td>0.8090169870</td>
<td>0.8090169944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5877852523</td>
<td>0.5877852684</td>
<td>0.5877852498</td>
<td>0.5877852523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3090169944</td>
<td>0.3090169992</td>
<td>0.3090169849</td>
<td>0.3090169944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-3b.** Table of error for example 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Standard method</th>
<th>Collocation method</th>
<th>Perturbed method</th>
<th>Collocation method</th>
<th>Result Obtained by Behiry and Mohamed [2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0000000000E+00</td>
<td>0.0000000000E+00</td>
<td>0.0000000000E+00</td>
<td>0.0000000000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.80000000E-09</td>
<td>9.50000000E-09</td>
<td>4.80000000E-09</td>
<td>4.80000000E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.61000000E-08</td>
<td>2.50000000E-09</td>
<td>1.61000000E-08</td>
<td>1.61000000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.50000000E-09</td>
<td>7.39999999E-09</td>
<td>4.50000000E-09</td>
<td>4.50000000E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.50000000E-09</td>
<td>1.22000000E-08</td>
<td>8.50000000E-09</td>
<td>8.50000000E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.50000000E-09</td>
<td>1.22000000E-08</td>
<td>8.50000000E-09</td>
<td>8.50000000E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.50000000E-09</td>
<td>7.39999999E-09</td>
<td>4.50000000E-09</td>
<td>4.50000000E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.61000000E-08</td>
<td>2.50000000E-09</td>
<td>1.61000000E-08</td>
<td>1.61000000E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.80000000E-09</td>
<td>9.50000000E-09</td>
<td>4.80000000E-09</td>
<td>4.80000000E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

Higher-order nonlinear integro-differential equations are usually difficult to solve analytically. In many cases, it is required to obtain the approximate solutions. For this purpose, the presented methods can be proposed. A considerable advantage of the methods is achieved as different approximate solutions are obtained by different values of N. Furthermore, after calculation of the approximate solutions, the approximate solution \( y_N(x) \) can be easily evaluated for arbitrary values of \( x \) at low computation effort.

To get the best approximating solution of the equation, \( N \) (the degree of the approximating polynomial) must be chosen large enough. From the tabular points shown in Table 1, it is observed that the solution found for \( N=10 \) shows close agreement for various values of \( x \). In particular, the solution of example 3, for \( N=10 \) shows a very close approximation to the analytical solution at the points in interval \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \). An interesting feature of the Standard and Perturbed collocation methods is that we get an analytical solution in many cases, as demonstrated in examples 1, 2 and 3.
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